#### HMM: The Learning Problem

#### Sorin Istrail

Department of Computer Science Brown University, Providence sorin@cs.brown.edu

March 31, 2020

#### Outline

The Basic Three HMM Problems HMM: basic variables and probailities Solution to Problem 3: The Expectation-Maximization Algorithm The Principle of Maximum- Likelihood

#### Outline

#### Outline

- 2 The Basic Three HMM Problems
- 3 HMM: basic variables and probailities
- Solution to Problem 3: The Expectation-Maximization Algorithm
  - The Reestimation Equations
  - The EM Algorithm
  - Baum's Q-function and the Baum-Welch Theorem
  - The Stochastic Contraints
  - Lagrangean multipliers for the solution of the max-optimization
- 5 The Principle of Maximum- Likelihood

- Observation sequence  $\mathcal{O} = o_1, ..., o_T$ and HMM model  $\lambda = (A, B, \pi)$
- Problem 1: The Evaluation Problem

Given:  $\mathcal{O}, \lambda$ 

Compute:  $P(O \mid \lambda)$  the probability of the observation sequence given the HMM model

• Problem 2: The Decoding Problem

Given:  $\mathcal{O}, \lambda$ 

Compute: A sequence of states Q for the observation sequence  $\mathcal{O}$ ,  $Q = q_1, ..., q_T$  which optimally "explains" the observation sequence.

• Problem 3: The Learning Problem

Given: O

Compute: the parameters of an HMM model  $\lambda$  that maximizes the probability  $P(\mathcal{O} \mid \lambda)$  of observing  $\mathcal{O}$  in the model  $\lambda$ 



- Observation sequence  $\mathcal{O} = o_1, ..., o_T$ and HMM model  $\lambda = (A, B, \pi)$
- Problem 1: The Evaluation Problem
   Given: O, λ
   Compute: P(O | λ) the probability of the observation sequence given the HMM model

(日)



- Observation sequence  $\mathcal{O} = o_1, ..., o_T$ and HMM model  $\lambda = (A, B, \pi)$
- Problem 2: The Decoding Problem

Given:  $\mathcal{O}, \lambda$ Compute: A sequence of states Q for the observation sequence  $\mathcal{O}, Q = q_1, ..., q_T$  which optimally "explains" the observation sequence.



- Observation sequence  $\mathcal{O} = o_1, ..., o_T$ and HMM model  $\lambda = (A, B, \pi)$
- Problem 3: The Learning Problem

Given:  $\mathcal{O}$ Compute: the parameters of an HMM model  $\lambda$  that maximizes the probability  $P(\mathcal{O} \mid \lambda)$  of observing  $\mathcal{O}$  in the model  $\lambda$ 

#### Elements of an HMM

• *N* is the number of states  $S = \{S_1, ..., S_N\}$ . The HMM process proceeds in discrete units of time, t = 1, 2, 3, ....

The state at time t is denoted by  $q_t$ .

- ② *M* is the number of distinct **observation symbols** per state  $V = v_1, ..., v_M$
- The transition probability distribution is given by  $A = \{a_{ij}\}$ , where

 $a_{ij} = P[q_{t+1} = S_j \mid q_t = S_i], 1 \le i, j, \le N$ 

The observation symbols probability distribution in state j is given by

$$B = \{b_j(k) = P[v_k \text{ at time } t \mid q_t = S_j], \\ 1 \le i \le N, 1 \le k \le M$$

S The initial state distribution is given by

۲

۲

#### Basic variables and probabilities

- a sequence of states is  $Q = \{q_1, q_2, ..., q_T\}$
- The **probability of observing the sequence**  $\mathcal{O}$  in sequence of states Q is

$$P(\mathcal{O} \mid Q) = \prod_{i=1}^{T} P((o_i \mid q_i))$$

$$P(\mathcal{O} \mid Q) = b_{q_1}(o_1)...b_{q_T}(o_T)$$

$$P(Q) = \pi_{q1} a_{q_1 q_2} a_{q_2 q_3} \dots a_{q_{T-1} q_T}$$

$$P(\mathcal{O}, Q) = P(\mathcal{O} \mid Q)P(Q)$$

۲

۲

#### Basic variables and probabilities

 $\bullet$  the probability of observing  ${\cal O}$  is

$$P(\mathcal{O}) = \sum_{allQ} P(\mathcal{O} \mid Q) P(Q)$$

$$= \sum_{q_1...q_T} \pi_{q_1} b_{q_1}(o_1) a_{q_1q_2} b_{q_2}(o_2) ... a_{q_{T-1}q_T} b_{q_T}(o_T)$$

< ∃ >

#### the Forward variable $\alpha_t(i)$

۲

• The Forward variable is defined by

$$\alpha_t(i) = P(o_1 o_2 \dots o_t, q_t = S_i)$$

 i.e., the probability of the prefix of the sequence of observations o<sub>1</sub>...o<sub>t</sub> until time t and being in state S<sub>i</sub> at time t

the Backward variable  $\beta_t(i)$ 

• The Backward variable is defined by

۲

$$\beta_t(i) = P(o_{t+1}o_{t+2}...o_T, q_t = S_i)$$

 i.e., the probability of the suffix of the sequence of observations o<sub>t+1</sub>o<sub>t=2</sub>...o<sub>T</sub> until end of sequence t and being in state S<sub>i</sub> at time t

#### the Delta variable $\delta_t(i)$

- The  $\delta_t(i)$  variable is defined by
- ۲

$$\delta_t(i) = MAX_{q_1...q_{t-1}} P(q_1...q_{t-1}q_t, o_1...o_{t-1}o_t)$$

• i.e., the best score (highest probability) along the single path, at time t which accounts for the first t observations and ends in state S<sub>i</sub>

Outline	
The Basic Three HMM Problems	The EM Algorithm
HMM: basic variables and probailities	Baum's Q-function and the Baum-Welch Theorem
Solution to Problem 3: The Expectation-Maximization Algorithm	The Stochastic Contraints
The Principle of Maximum- Likelihood	

- By far the most difficult of the three problems.
- We want to adjust the parameters of the model λ = (A, B, π) to maximize the probability of observing the sequence in the model.
- There is no exact analytical solution to this problem.
- Both Problem 1 and Probem 2 have solutions given by algorithms that we presented in CS 1810. Those algorithms are exact and having computing time  $O(N^2 T)$ .

Outline	The Reestimation Equations
The Basic Three HMM Problems	The EM Algorithm
HMM: basic variables and probailities	Baum's Q-function and the Baum-Welch Theorem
Solution to Problem 3: The Expectation-Maximization Algorithm	The Stochastic Contraints
The Principle of Maximum- Likelihood	Lagrangean multipliers for the solution of the max-optimization

- We can choose  $\lambda' = (A', B', \pi')$  such that  $P(\mathcal{O} \mid \lambda')$  is locally maximal.
- We use the **Baum-Welch Algorithm**. This is an iterative algorithm. We iterate untill no improvement is possible. At that point we reached a local maxima.

For this iteration we are using a method for reesttimation of the HMM parameters.

Outline	
The Basic Three HMM Problems	The EM Algorithm
HMM: basic variables and probailities	Baum's Q-function and the Baum-Welch Theorem
Solution to Problem 3: The Expectation-Maximization Algorithm	The Stochastic Contraints
The Principle of Maximum- Likelihood	

# the Xi variable $\xi(ij)$

- We first define a new variable  $\xi$
- $\xi_t(i,j)$  = the probability of being in state  $S_i$  at time t and state  $S_j$  at time t + 1, given the model and the observation sequence

• 
$$\xi_t(i,j) = P(q_t = S_i, q_{t+1} = S_j \mid \mathcal{O}, \lambda)$$

Outline	
The Basic Three HMM Problems	The EM Algorithm
HMM: basic variables and probailities	Baum's Q-function and the Baum-Welch Theorem
Solution to Problem 3: The Expectation-Maximization Algorithm	The Stochastic Contraints
The Principle of Maximum- Likelihood	

• From the definition of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  variables we can write  $\xi$  as follows:

۲

۲

$$\xi_t(i,j) = \frac{\alpha_t(i)a_{ij}b_j(o_{t+1})\beta_{t+1}(j)}{P(\mathcal{O} \mid \lambda)}$$
$$= \frac{\alpha_t(i)a_{ij}b_j(o_{t+1})\beta_{t+1}(j)}{\sum_{i'=1}^N \sum_{j'=1}^N \alpha_t(i')a_{i'j'}b_{j'}(o_{t+1})\beta_{t+1}(j')}$$

< ∃ >

Outline	
The Basic Three HMM Problems	The EM Algorithm
HMM: basic variables and probailities	Baum's Q-function and the Baum-Welch Theorem
Solution to Problem 3: The Expectation-Maximization Algorithm	The Stochastic Contraints
The Principle of Maximum- Likelihood	

• The numerator is:

۲

$$P(q_t = S_i, q_{t+1} = S_j, \mathcal{O} \mid \lambda)$$

and the denominator is the normalization factor to give the probability:

۲

$$P(\mathcal{O} \mid \lambda) = \sum_{i'=1}^{N} \sum_{j'=1}^{N} \alpha_t(i') a_{i'j'} b_{j'}(o_{t+1}) \beta(j')$$

< ∃ > <

Outline	
The Basic Three HMM Problems	The EM Algorithm
HMM: basic variables and probailities	Baum's Q-function and the Baum-Welch Theorem
Solution to Problem 3: The Expectation-Maximization Algorithm	The Stochastic Contraints
The Principle of Maximum- Likelihood	

#### the Gamma variable $\gamma_t(i)$

 As γ<sub>t</sub>(i) is the probability of being in state S<sub>i</sub> at time t given the observation sequence and model we have

$$\gamma_t(i) = \sum_{j=1}^N \xi_t(i,j)$$

Outline	
The Basic Three HMM Problems	The EM Algorithm
HMM: basic variables and probailities	Baum's Q-function and the Baum-Welch Theorem
Solution to Problem 3: The Expectation-Maximization Algorithm	The Stochastic Contraints
The Principle of Maximum- Likelihood	

• The expected number of times state S<sub>i</sub> is visited or equivalently the expected number of transitions made from S<sub>i</sub> is

$$\sum_{t=1}^{T-1} \gamma_t(i) =$$

= the expected number of transitions from  $S_i$ 

• Similarly,

٥

۵

$$\sum_{t=1}^{T-1}\xi_t(i,j) =$$

= the expected number of transitions from  $S_i$  to  $S_j$ 

#### Reestimating $\pi_i$

٠

The Reestimation Equations The EM Algorithm Baum's Q-function and the Baum-Welch Theorem The Stochastic Contraints Lagrangean multipliers for the solution of the max-optimizatior

(日)

• A set of resonable reestimations for the parameters  $\pi$ , A, B are given as follows:

$$\bar{\pi} = \gamma_1(i), 1 \leq i \leq N$$

 i.e., the expected frequency (number of times) in state S<sub>i</sub> at time (t = 1) is = γ<sub>1</sub>(i)

#### Reestimating $A = \{a_{ij}\}$

۲

The Reestimation Equations The EM Algorithm Baum's Q-function and the Baum-Welch Theorem The Stochastic Contraints Lagrangean multipliers for the solution of the max-optim

(日)

$$\bar{a_{ij}} = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{T-1} \xi_t(i,j)}{\sum_{t=1}^{T-1} \gamma_t(i)}$$

• i.e., (expected number of transitions from  $S_i$  to  $S_j$ )/ (exected number of transitions from  $S_i$ )

The Reestimation Equations The EM Algorithm Baum's Q-function and the Baum-Welch Theorem The Stochastic Contraints Lagrangean multipliers for the solution of the max-optimiza

< D > < A > < B > < B >

# Reestimating $B = b_j(k)$

۹

$$\bar{b}_j(k) = \frac{\sum_{t=1,o_t=v_k}^T \gamma_t(j)}{\sum_{t=1}^T \gamma_t(j)}$$

 i.e., (expected number of times in state S<sub>j</sub> observing observation symbol v<sub>k</sub>)/ (expected number of times in state S<sub>j</sub>)

Outline	The Reestimation Equations
The Basic Three HMM Problems	The EM Algorithm
HMM: basic variables and probailities	Baum's Q-function and the Baum-Welch Theorem
Solution to Problem 3: The Expectation-Maximization Algorithm	The Stochastic Contraints
The Principle of Maximum- Likelihood	

- Let the current model  $\lambda = (A, B, \pi)$
- Compute the above reestimation to get a new model  $\bar{\lambda}=(\bar{A},\bar{B},\bar{\pi})$
- Then
  - (1)  $\lambda$  is a local optimum, i.e.,  $\lambda = \overline{\lambda}$ , or
  - 2  $\bar{\lambda}$  is more likely that  $\lambda$  in the sense that

$$P(\mathcal{O} \mid \overline{\lambda}) > P(\mathcal{O} \mid \lambda)$$

, i.e., we have found a new model  $\bar{\lambda}$  from which the observation sequence is more likely to have been produced.

The Reestimation Equations **The EM Algorithm** Baum's Q-function and the Baum-Welch Theorem The Stochastic Contraints Lagrangean multipliers for the solution of the max-optimization

#### The maximum likelihood HMM estimate

- If we consider this reestimation, the final result of this reestimation procedure is called a **maximum likelihood** estimate of the HMM
- The Forward-Backward algorithm leads to a local maxima

The Reestimation Equations The EM Algorithm Baum's Q-function and the Baum-Welch Theorem The Stochastic Contraints Lagrangean multipliers for the solution of the max-optimization

#### Baum's Q-function and the Baum-Welch Theorem

- The reestimation formulas can be derived directly by maximization (using constrained optimization) of Baum's auxiiary function:
- ٩

$$\mathit{Max}_{\bar{\lambda}}\mathcal{Q}(\lambda,\bar{\lambda}) = \mathit{sum}_{Q}P(Q \mid \mathcal{O},\lambda)\log(P(\mathcal{O},Q \mid \lambda))$$

• Baum-Welch Theorem:

$$Max_{\overline{\lambda}}(\mathcal{Q}(\lambda,\overline{\lambda}))$$

implies that

$$P(\mathcal{O} \mid \bar{\lambda}) \geq P(\mathcal{O} \mid \lambda)$$

 Outline
 The Reestimation Equations

 The Basic Three HMM Problems
 The EM Algorithm

 HMM: basic variables and probailities
 Solution to Problem 3: The Expectation-Maximization Algorithm

 The Principle of Maximum- Likelihood
 The Stochastic Contraints

# The EM Algorithm

- The reestimation procedure can be implemented as the **Expectation-Maximization (EM) Algorithm** due to Dempster, Laird and Rubin (1977)
- The E-step (Expectation) is the calculation of the Baum's auxiliary function Q(λ, λ̄)
- The **M-step** (Maximization) is the maximization of  $\bar{\lambda}$

(日)

The Reestimation Equations The EM Algorithm Baum's Q-function and the Baum-Welch Theorem The Stochastic Contraints Lagrangean multipliers for the solution of the max-optimizatior

< □ > < 同 > < 回 >

#### The stochastic contraints

۲

۵

• The stochastic contraints for the model are automatically satisfied at each iteration:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N}ar{\pi}_i=1, 1\leq j\leq N$$
 $\sum_{i=1}^{N}ar{s}_{ij}=1, 1\leq j\leq N$  $\sum_{k=1}^{M}ar{b}_j(k)=1$ 

 $\overline{k=1}$ 

The Reestimation Equations The EM Algorithm Baum's Q-function and the Baum-Welch Theorem The Stochastic Contraints Lagrangean multipliers for the solution of the max-optimizatior

# Viewing the parameter optimization problem as an optimization problem

• We can solve the parameter estimation problem as a constraint optimization problem for

#### $P(\mathcal{O} \mid \lambda)$

under the stochastic contraints by using the Lagrangean multipliers method. It shows that P is maximized when the following hold:

< □ > < 同 >

 Outline
 The Reestimation Equations

 The Basic Three HMM Problems
 The EM Algorithm

 HMM: basic variables and probailities
 Baum's Q-function and the Baum-Welch Theorem

 Solution to Problem 3: The Expectation-Maximization Algorithm
 The Stochastic Contraints

 The Principle of Maximum- Likelihood
 Lagrangean multipliers for the solution of the max-optimization

#### Lagrangean multipliers for the solution of the optimization

۲

٠

 $\pi_i = \frac{\pi_i \frac{\partial P}{\partial \pi_i}}{\sum_{k=1}^N \pi_k \frac{\partial P}{\partial \pi_k}}$ 

$$a_{ij} = rac{a_{ij}rac{\partial P}{\partial a_{ij}}}{\sum_{k=1}^{N}a_{ik}rac{\partial P}{\partial a_{ik}}}$$

$$b_i(k) = \frac{b_i(k)\frac{\partial P}{\partial b_i(k)}}{\sum_{l=1}^M b_i(l)\frac{\partial P}{\partial b_i(l)}}$$

(日)

Outline	
The Basic Three HMM Problems	The EM Algorithm
HMM: basic variables and probailities	Baum's Q-function and the Baum-Welch Theorem
Solution to Problem 3: The Expectation-Maximization Algorithm	The Stochastic Contraints
The Principle of Maximum- Likelihood	Lagrangean multipliers for the solution of the max-optimization

- By appropriate manipulation of those formulas the right-hand sides of each equaltion can be ready converted to be identical to the right-sides of the EM algorithm reestimations.
- This shows that the reestimation formulas are indeed exactly correct at local optimal points of P(O | λ)

# The Principle of Maximum- Likelihood

- The general prinicple of Maximum-Likelihood
- Suppose that we have c data sets D<sub>1</sub>...D<sub>c</sub> with the sample D<sub>j</sub> haveing been drawn independently according to the probability distribution p(x | w<sub>j</sub>)
- We say that such sample are i.i.d.-idependent and identically distributed random variables
- we assume that p(x | w<sub>j</sub>) has a known parameter form, and therefore determined uniquely by the value of its paramenter vector θ<sub>j</sub>
- For example, we might have  $p(x | w_j) = N(\mu_j, \sigma_j)$  where  $\theta_j$  is the vector of all components of  $\mu_j, \sigma_j$ .

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 >

#### The Problem we want to solve

#### Notation

To show the dependence of of p(x | w<sub>j</sub>) on θ<sub>j</sub> explicitly, we write p(x | w<sub>j</sub>, θ<sub>j</sub>)

#### • The Problem we want to solve

- Use the information provided by the training samples to obtain good estimates for the unknown parameter vectors  $\theta_1, ..., \theta_c$
- To simplify, assume that D<sub>i</sub> give no information about θ<sub>j</sub>, j ≠ i. Parameters are different classes are functionally different. And so we now have c problems of the same form. So we will work with a generic one such data set D.
- We use a set D of training samples drawn independently from the probability distribution p(x | θ) to estimate the unknown parameters vector θ.

۲

#### The Maximum Likelihood Estimate

• Suppose  $\mathcal{D}$  contains *n* samples  $x_1, ..., x_n$ . Because the samples were drawn independently we have

$$p(\mathcal{D} \mid \theta) = \prod_{k=1}^{n} p(x_k \mid \theta)$$

- $p(\mathcal{D} \mid \theta)$  viewed as a function of  $\theta$  is the likelihood of  $\theta$  with respect to  $\mathcal{D}$
- The maximum-likelihood estimate of  $\theta$  is, by definition, the value  $\hat{\theta}$  that maximizes  $p(\mathcal{D} \mid \theta)$
- Intuitively, this estimate corresponds to the value of  $\theta$  that in some sense best agrees with or supports the actually observed training sample.

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 >

#### Log-Likelihood maximization

- For analytical reasons, it is easy to work with the logarithm of the likelihood than with the likelihood itself, so we use the log-likelihood objective function
- Because the logarithm is monotonically increasing, the  $\hat{\theta}$  that maximizes the log-likelihood also maximizes the likelihood
- If  $p(\mathcal{D} \mid \theta)$  is a differentiable function of  $\theta$ ,  $\hat{\theta}$  can be found by standard differntial calculus methods

(日)

• If 
$$heta=( heta_1,..., heta_r)^{ op}$$
, let  $abla_ heta$  be the gradient operator

$$\nabla_{\theta} = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_1}, ..., \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_r}\right)^{T}$$

Sorin Istrail HMM: The Learning Problem

< ロ > < 部 > < き > < き >

æ

#### • Define $L(\theta)$ as the log-likelihood function

$$L( heta) = \ln p(\mathcal{D} \mid heta)$$

and

$$\hat{ heta} = rg \max L( heta)$$

• as the argument that Maximizes the log-likelihood; the dependence on  $\mathcal{D}$  is implicit.

(日)

• We have by the independence condition

$$L(\theta) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \ln p(x_k \mid \theta)$$

and

•

$$\nabla_{\theta} L = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \ln p (x_k \mid \theta)$$

 This the necessary conditions for the maximum-likelihood estimate for θ can be obtained from the set of r equations

$$\nabla_{\theta} L = 0$$

4 3 b

# The Expectation-Maximization (EM) Algorithm

- We extend now our application of maximum likelihood to permit **learning of parameters** governing a distributionfrom training points, some of which have **misiing data** features.
- If there is no missing data, we can use maximum likelihood, i.e., find  $\hat{\theta}$  that maximizes the log-likelihood  $L(\theta)$ .

- The basic idea of the EM algorithm is to iteratively estimate the likelihood given the data that is present.
- Consider a full sample D = {x<sub>1</sub>, ..., x<sub>n</sub>} of points taken from a single distribution. Suppose that some features are missing: so we can define for each sample point x<sub>k</sub> = {x<sub>k<sub>g</sub></sub>, x<sub>k<sub>b</sub>}
  </sub>
- i.e., contianing **"good"** features and the missing data as **"bad"** features.

- Let us separate the features in two classes  $\mathcal{D}_g$  and  $\mathcal{D}_b,$  where  $\mathcal{D}=\mathcal{D}_g\cup\mathcal{D}_b$
- Next we define the Baum function

$$\mathcal{Q}(\theta; \theta^i) = \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{D}_b}(\ln p(\mathcal{D}_g, \mathcal{D}_b; \theta) \mid \mathcal{D}_g; \theta^i)$$

- known as the Central Equation
- where  $\mathcal{Q}$  is a function of  $\theta$  with the  $\theta^i$  assumed fixed, and
- *E*<sub>Db</sub> is the expectation operator computing the expected value marginalized over the missing features assuming *θ<sup>i</sup>* are the "true" parameters describing the full distribution

- The **best intuition** behind the Central Equation in the EM algorithm is as follows:
- The parameter vector  $\boldsymbol{\theta}^i$  is the current best estimate for the full distribution
- $\bullet \ \theta$  is a candidate vector for an improved estimate

- Given such a candidate  $\theta$ , the right-had side of the central equation calculates the likelihood of the data including the unknown features  $\mathcal{D}_b$  marginalized with respect to the current best distribution which is described by  $\theta^i$
- Different such candidates will lead to different such likelihoods

• Our algorithm will select the best such candidates  $\theta$  and call it  $\theta^{i+1}$ , the one corresponding to the greatest value of  $\mathcal{Q}(\theta; \theta^i)$ 

< 口 > < 何 >

> Expectation-Maximization (EM) Algorithm BEGIN Initiatlize theta<sup>0</sup>, epsilon, i=0 DO i=i+1 E step: Compute Q(theta; theta topower i) M step: theta topower {i+1} = arg max Q(theta, theta topower i) UNTIL Q(theta topower {i+1}; theta topower i) -

Q((theta^i; theta topower {i-1}) <= epsilon

イロト イポト イラト イラト

RETURN theta-hat = theta topower {i+1}

- The EM algorithm is most useful when the optimization of the Q function is simpler than the likelihood *L*.
- Most importantly, the algorithm guarantees that the log-likelihood of the good data (with the bad data marginalized) will increase monotonically.
- This is not the same as finding the particular values of the bad data that givess the maximum-likelihood of the full, complete data.